ENTERED

July 18, 2018 David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

PREIS PROPERTIES, LTD.; dba TOWN	§	
AND COUNTRY APARTMENTS,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:18-CV-123
	§	
STATE FARM LLOYDS,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (D.E. 6). On July 13, 2018, Plaintiff timely filed an amended complaint (D.E. 9), which does not reference the earlier complaint (D.E. 1). "An amended complaint supersedes the original complaint and renders it of no legal effect unless the amended complaint specifically refers to and adopts or incorporates by reference the earlier pleading." *King v. Dogan*, 31 F.3d 344, 346 (5th Cir. 1994) (citing *Boelens v. Redman Homes, Inc.*, 759 F.2d 504, 508 (5th Cir.1985)). A Rule 12(b)(6) motion addressed to a superseded complaint is moot. *See generally, Van Deelen v. Cain*, 628 F. App'x 891, 900 (5th Cir. 2015) (noting that prior motions to dismiss had been mooted by prior amended complaints). *See also, Maxim Integrated Prod., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, No. SA-14-CV-1030-XR, 2015 WL 10990119, at *1 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 12, 2015) (citing *Merritt v. Fogel*, 349 F. App'x 742, 745 (3d Cir. 2009)). Consequently, the Court TERMINATES AS MOOT Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (D.E. 6).

ORDERED this 18th day of July, 2018.

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE